d e

Review Committee Member: Steve Cumming - Lead Org: The Michener Institute of Education at UHN

Assessment Form: Targeted Call 2021

Email: SCumming@blueprint-ade.ca

Phone Number:

Project Name: Accelerating the Appropriate Adoption of AI in Healthcare

Link to reviewer packet: <u>The Michener Institute of</u> <u>Education at UHN Reviewer Packet</u> Lead Organization: The Michener Institute of Education at UHN

Conflict of Interest Verification



Conflict of Interest Verification : I have acknowledged and agreed to follow FSC-CCF's Confidentiality and Conflict of Interest Policy and I have no Conflict with this grant application.

A. Relevance

Project aligns with FSC's priorities, addresses recognized systemic challenges about future skills in Canada, and demonstrates demand for service.

A1: Alignment with FSC's strategic priorities

O Displays little or no alignment with FSC's Strategic Priorities. O Pursues FSC's Strategic Priorities, but alignment lacks clarity.

O Adequately aligns with O Presents outstanding FSC's Strategic Priorities. alignment with FSC's Strategic Priorities in a

O Presents outstanding alignment with FSC's Strategic Priorities in a way that demonstrates that FSC should not miss the opportunity to partner with this project.

*FSC's Strategic Plan for your reference

A2: Addressing systemic challenges

O Shows little or no scope ⊙ Presents general but to address recognized limited scope to address systemic challenges about recognized systemic future skills in Canada. challenges about future skills in Canada.

O Presents clear and relevant scope to address outstanding potential to recognized systemic challenges about future skills in Canada.

O Demonstrates address recognized systemic challenges about future skills in Canada with a strong and welldefined scope that sets this project aside from other initiatives.

A3: Demand for service

O Demonstration of the irrelevant or poorly articulated.

 Demonstrates that this service but explanation lacks clarity.

O Clearly demonstrates demand for this service is there is some demand for that there are high levels of demand for this service levels of demand for this and explains how the project adequately fulfills this demand.

O Articulates a deep understanding of the high service and makes a strong case for how providing this service is timely.

applied in a novel way.

B. Innovation and Evidence

Project pursues a new way of doing things that can advance knowledge and/or is an evidence-informed model.

B1: Innovative nature

O It is not innovative, seeks funding for business as usual and, if interventions proposed applicable, is not informed are not particularly novel by evidence.

• While it is a departure from business as usual. and, if applicable, are only adequately informed by vaguely informed by evidence.

O Proposes clearly O Proposes solutions that innovative solutions and, if are without a doubt one of applicable, articulates how a kind and highly the novel interventions are innovative and, if applicable, makes a evidence. strong case for how the interventions are arounded on evidence that is relevant and

B2: Evidence generation and new knowledge

plan to generate insights generate insights and

O Presents unclear or no O Demonstrates intent to O Presents a clear and or to advance knowledge. advance knowledge that can benefit the skills ecosystem but the plan lacks clarity.

O There are strong and adequate plan to generate well-designed strategies in insights and advance place to generate insights knowledge that will clearly and advance knowledge in a way that sets this benefit the skills project aside from other ecosystem at large. initiatives

C. Learning

Project has already generated learning that informed the additional scope and identifies concrete problem statements and learning questions to address in the next phase.

C1: Application of learnings from current project

Ooes not demonstrate how learning generated from the current project informed additional scope	O Presents general but limited connection between learning generated from the current project and additional scope.	O Presents a clear and relevant connection between learning generated from the current project and additional scope.	O Makes an outstanding case for how the additional scope is grounded on learning generated from the current project and expertly demonstrates ability to continue to pursue learning.
C2: Problem statements	and additional learning	questions	pursue learning.

O Articulates well-defined and concrete learning questions that will without a doubt contribute to addressing the problem statements within and beyond the scope of the project.

C2: Problem statements and additional learning questions

O Presents vague or no concrete additional learning questions.

 Somewhat defines O Clearly identifies concrete and additional additional learning learning questions but the guestions that are connection between concrete and relevant to questions and the problemaddress the problem statements lacks clarity. statements.

D. Equity, diversity, and inclusion (EDI)

Project incorporates the perspectives of end-users and other stakeholders, particularly groups facing barriers, in the design and execution of the project, presents practices grounded in EDI principles, and shows potential to further EDI.

D1: Incorporation of the perspectives of end-users and other stakeholders

O Does not incorporate the perspectives of endusers and other stakeholders in the design the design and execution are involved in the design and other stakeholders, and execution of the project.

⊙ Shows that end-users and other stakeholders are somewhat involved in and other stakeholders of the project, but lacks clarity around the effective project in effective and incorporation of their perspectives.

O Presents clear evidence that end-users and execution of the relevant ways.

O There are strong and well-designed strategies in place to involve end-users demonstrating an outstanding commitment to incorporating their perspectives at every stage of the project.

D2: EDI practices & activities

O Project practices and activities do not directly support and are not explicitly grounded in EDI loosely grounded in EDI

 Project practices and activities somewhat support but are only

O Project is clearly grounded in EDI policies, practices or activities.

O Project is clearly grounded in EDI policies, practices or activities and clearly demonstrates commitment to EDI and

principles.

principles.

potential to be a leader in promoting EDI in the skills ecosystem.

D3: Impact on furthering EDI

furthering EDI under the project scope is irrelevant project scope, but or vague.

O Anticipated impact on O Demonstrates intent to O Anticipated impact on further EDI under the rationale lacks clarity.

furthering EDI under the project scope is clear and EDI in the field or sector relevant.

O Presents promising and strong strategies to further with potential to impact the skills ecosystem at large.

E. Capacity

The lead organization (and partners if applicable) have the skills, experience and resources to execute the project successfully and hold a good track record with FSC.

E1: Skills, experience & resources

O Project team lacks	O Project team has some	 Project team clearly
skills, experience and	of the skills, experience	demonstrates adequate
resources needed to	and resources needed to	skills, experience and
execute the project.	execute the project.	resources to execute the
		project.

O Project team demonstrates strong skills, experience and the resources to succeed in the project and to be a leader who influences the skills ecosystem.

E2: FSC track record

O Presents little or no • Presents somewhat evidence of a good track adequate evidence of a record with FSC and of good track record with addressing challenges FSC and of addressing faced during the current challenges faced during project, indicating that the the current project, organization may struggle indicating that the to manage the new projectorganization may have effectively and limited capacity to responsibly. manage the new project effectively and

responsibly.

O Presents adequate evidence of a good track record with FSC and of addressing challenges faced during the current project, indicating that the the current project, organization will manage indicating that the the new project effectively organization has strong and responsibly.

O Shows clear evidence of an impeccable track record with FSC and has expertly addressed challenges faced during project and risk management systems in place to take on the new project.

F. Coherence

Project displays a logical connection between proposed activities and project objectives with a work plan and a budget that are reasonable, appropriate and aligned.

F1: Connection between activities & objectives

• Activities and objectives • Presents a clear and O Activities and objectives O Lacks logical

connection between activities and objectives.	are somewhat connected, but the link lacks clarity.	logical connection between activities and objectives.	are without a doubt strongly connected in a thoughtful way.
F2: Budget			
O Budget is not reasonable, appropriate o		O Budget is clearly reasonable, appropriate	O Presents an outstanding value for
aligned with workplan.	appropriate, but is only loosely aligned with	and aligned with workplar	a.money and strong alignment with workplan.

Reviewer overall recommendation

workplan.

Considering the proposal as a whole, do you think FSC should fund this project as a worthwhile contribution to the skills ecosystem?

Overall Recommendation:

- O I recommend this project for funding
- O I recommend this project for funding conditional on changes and/or more information
- \odot I do not recommend this project for funding

Explain your reasoning for this recommendation.

The learning, reach, and impact from the current grant is unclear and the application is to continue with the existing model, rather than build on what's been learned and will be adapted. The cost, delivery mechanism, intended outcomes and impact and engagement approach are difficult to decipher. The role of the incubator and toolkit is also unclear. The intersection of the framework, toolkit, playbook, skill based learning app, and knowledge exchange don't seem to cohere into a project model.

What do you think are the strongest aspects of this project?

The integration of AI into healthcare is topical and compelling. The idea of an international symposium is interesting. The different activities and deliverables are interesting and thoughtful but they don't seem to align to an overall project model.

Where do you think the project has gaps or challenges?

It would be helpful to have a deeper understanding of the progress of the existing grant, the adaption to the new applications, and a clearer and more coherent understanding of how the various components lead to intended and measurable outcomes as well as the ultimate reach and impact the program hopes to have, especially given the size of the budget.

Comments

Please share any other comments.